EVALUATION OF RADIOLUCENCY AND SUBSIDENCE OF THE CEMENTLESS OXFORD MEDIAL UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE REPLACEMENT


ABSTRACT

Background: The most popular unicompartmental knee replacement (UKR) prosthesis to treat medial compartment osteoarthritis (OA) is the Oxford knee (OUKR). This prosthesis now has cemented and cementless fixation options. The fixation of the new cementless implants has proved successful with significantly reduced radiolucent lines compared to the cemented prosthesis in the designer series. Unfortunately, some studies have reported tibial component subsidence as a specific complication to the cementless design.

Aim of the Work: This study aims to review the fixation and clinical outcomes of the cementless prosthesis. We report the incidence of radiolucent lines associated with both cementless and cemented tibial prosthesis. More importantly, to study the incidence of tibial component subsidence.

Materials and Methods: This is a prospective study of a consecutive series of the Oxford medial UKR. All patients had bone on bone arthritis fulfilling the criteria for anteromedial OA. All patients received cementless femur implants. The majority of patients received cementless tibial implants. Cemented tibial component was only used in elderly patients where the tibial metaphyseal bone was found potentially weak intraoperatively. Fluoroscopy-aligned radiographs were obtained post-operatively and at one-year. Radiolucent lines and subsidence were evaluated. All patients completed the Oxford knee questionnaire (OKS) at one-year follow-up.

Results: A total of 68 knees implanted in 59 patients completed the study. Fully cementless medial OUKR (n=61), and cemented tibial with cementless femur (n=7). The mean age was 67.5 years. Two patients required revision to TKR. The cemented design had higher incidence of radiolucent lines. One patient had Cementless tibial component subsidence. The median OKS was excellent in both groups.

Conclusion: Both designs of the OUKR are valid treatment options with excellent OKS. Radiolucent lines are less frequent with the cementless design but tibial component subsidence and fracture have a higher incidence compared to the cemented prosthesis.
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INTRODUCTION:

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee joint is one of the commonest causes of chronic painful disability worldwide(1). It affects different age groups in different ways. In the early stages, the disease is mostly affecting the medial compartment of the knee leaving the other compartments unaffected(2). The goal of management of the disease is to provide a pain-free joint, reduce stiffness, and to minimize further damage to the joint.
The modalities of treatment include physiotherapy, drug therapy, and surgery. Joint arthroplasty is spared for patients with end-stage arthritis not improving despite non-operative management\(^3\). Surgical treatment options include total knee replacement and partial knee replacement.

Early surgical techniques for knee arthroplasty, based on bony alignment, violated the soft tissue structures and used highly constrained prostheses which resulted in high stresses at the bone cement interface leading to premature aseptic loosening and consequently its ultimate failure\(^4\). This led to the development of load sharing and less constrained implants which allow rotation and translation to occur in the joint, in an attempt to mimic the normal joint kinematics. In combination with the preservation of the surrounding soft tissue structures improved joint stability, function and longevity have been achieved.

Advances in knee arthroplasty technique and implants have therefore greatly influenced the outcomes of the procedure. As the evolution of knee arthroplasty continues to pursue more joint salvaging techniques, i.e. less constrained implants and more soft tissue preservation, in order to maintain the normal biomechanics of the knee joint to achieve satisfactory patient outcomes and increased longevity.

Uni-compartmental knee replacement (UKR) is the most joint conserving arthroplasty option as it preserves all knee ligaments in an intact and functional state. It retains the normal rotational and translational motion of the joint. This allows more physiological joint biomechanics and hence, provides a more natural gait pattern with higher walking speed in comparison to total knee replacement (TKA). UKR has also been proven to offer a shorter hospital stay, and have lower costs\(^5\&\(^6\).

The most popular UKR prosthesis to treat isolated medial compartment OA is the Oxford knee. The main design principle was the use of a fully-congruent mobile-bearing polyethylene insert to fulfill the function of the normal meniscus. This creates an increased surface area for load transmission, that has proven to have very low levels of wear\(^7\). The surgical technique allows for the accurate balance of medial soft tissues to their normal tension and subsequent correction of the coronal plane deformity. The prosthesis now has cemented and cementless fixation options. The cementless implant was designed to avoid errors of cementation and misinterpretation of radiolucent lines associated with the cemented version. The fixation of the new cementless implants has proved successful. The incidence of radiolucent lines was significantly reduced compared to the cemented prosthesis in a series published by one of the designing centres\(^8\). Unfortunately, some studies have reported tibial component subsidence as a specific complication to the cementless design\(^9,\,10\).

In our experience, this complication of the cementless design has almost exclusively been seen in elderly patients with lower bone density. Having moved to use the cementless prosthesis for all patients, we now use a cemented tibial implant in elderly patients where the tibial metaphyseal bone is felt to be potentially weak intraoperatively. Younger patients with good bone quality still receive a cementless tibial component. Both groups receive a cementless femoral component since we have seen no complications related to that component and have not seen any reported.

**AIM OF THE WORK:**

This study aims to review the fixation and clinical outcomes of the cementless
prosthesis. We report the incidence of radiolucent lines associated with both-cementless and cemented tibial prosthesis. More importantly, to study the incidence of tibial component subsidence following the change of practice.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:
This is a prospective study of a consecutive series of the Oxford medial unicompartmental knee replacement in our institution. The vast majority of patients received cementless implants. Cemented tibial component was only used in elderly patients where the tibial metaphyseal bone was felt to be potentially weak intraoperatively. All patients had bone on bone arthritis isolated to the medial compartment of the knee with intact anterior cruciate ligament fulfilling the criteria for anteromedial OA (AMOA)\(^{(11)}\). All patients had pre-operative flexion deformity less than 15 degrees and flexion range of 110 degrees or more. All surgeries were performed at Warwick hospital or the Warwickshire Nuffield in the UK under one lead surgeon. All patients received the Oxford Phase III Biomet UK Ltd, Swindon, UK. Surgery was performed through a minimally invasive medial arthrotomy using the microplasty instrumentation. All patients received two weeks of prophylactic anticoagulation of Apixaban 2.5 mg twice daily. All patients received prophylactic antibiotics Teicoplanin & Gentamicin on the induction of anaesthesia. Peri-operative complications were documented including intensive care unit (ICU) admission, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), pulmonary embolism (PE), blood transfusion, chest infection, and peri-prosthetic infection. All patients received the same postoperative protocol and completed a minimum of a one-year follow-up. Fluoroscopically-aligned radiographs were taken post-operatively and at a one-year follow-up. All patients completed the Oxford knee score questionnaire to evaluate their functional outcomes at one-year follow-up.

Fluoroscopy aligned radiographs were used, postoperative and at one year, to document the presence of radiolucent lines around the femoral and tibial components for both cemented and cementless prosthesis used. Radiolucent lines around the femoral component were evaluated on the lateral view radiographs. The tibial component was evaluated on AP radiographs and radiolucencies recorded by dividing the area under the tibial component into six zones as described in previous studies. Zone 7, the area around the tibial vertical wall was excluded from the evaluation in the cementless group as it is not coated with hydroxyapatite and therefore plays no role in implant fixation.\(^{(6)}\)

Radiolucent lines for cemented and cementless prosthesis were classified into complete if all zones were involved or incomplete if not. Progressive radiolucency was reported if the radiolucencies were seen to increase in size or number of zones affected on subsequent radiographs.\(^{(12)}\)

Subsidence was evaluated on the AP and lateral views and compared between post-operative and one-year films. Any subsidence of the tibial component was measured in distance from the prosthesis to the fibular head on the lateral radiographs. On the AP views the angle was measured between a line drawn parallel to the flat undersurface of the tibial component and the anatomical axis of the tibia.

The Oxford knee score questionnaire was used to evaluate the functional outcomes of patients at the end of the follow-up period. The score records the answer to 12 questions each scored 0 to 4 points creating a maximum score of 48/48. The scores were categorised into Excellent (range 41-48), Good (34-40), Fair (25-33) and Poor (<24), as previously described in the literature\(^{(13)}\).
RESULTS:

A total of 68 knees implanted in 59 patients (nine bilateral) completed the study. Fully cementless medial OUKR was implanted in 61 knees, while cemented tibial and cementless femur were implanted in 7 knees. There was no loss to follow-up. No deaths were reported during the follow-up period. The mean age was 67.5 years at the time of surgery (range 41-86). The mean BMI was 30.9 (range 20-43). Two patients required revision to TKR. The first was revised for bearing dislocation due to an MCL traumatic rupture. The second was revised for deep infection. Radiographs at one year were obtained in all knees and were analysed for radiolucent lines. Oxford knee scores were completed for 61 knees at one year. The median OKS was 44 (Diagram 1). Excellent scores were achieved in 75% of the knees. None of the patients had poor scores (Diagram 2).
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Cementless tibial implant results

For the 61 knees receiving a cementless tibial implant, the average age at the time of surgery was 67.2 years (range 41-82) with 29 (47%) knees implanted in patients over 70 years at the time of surgery.

All patients completed Oxford score questionnaires pre-operatively and at a one-year follow-up. The median postoperative Oxford score at one-year follow-up was 44/48 (range 31-48). Excellent scores were achieved in 75% of cases.

Radiolucent lines:

There were no radiolucent lines associated with the femoral component.

There were no complete or progressive tibial radiolucencies. Incomplete tibial radiolucencies were seen in 25 knees (40%) post-operatively but only 4 knees (6.5%) at one year. These were all present on post-operative radiographs and were non-progressive. Radiolucent lines were mostly in Zone 6. The location of radiolucent lines associated with the tibial component is shown in (Diagram 3).

Patients with postoperative radiolucent lines had median OKS of 44/48 (range 33-48). This was the same for the few patients with radiolucent lines present at one year.

Subsidence:

There was one tibial component subsidence identified in this patient cohort receiving cementless tibial prosthesis (1.6%). This patient was a 67-year-old female. Subsidence occurred within the first two months with the tibial component angle measured on AP views changing to 94.7 degrees from 91.5 degrees on immediate postoperative radiographs. However, this had not progressed any on one-year radiographs. Posterior subsidence was not visualised on lateral view films. This patient reported increased pain at 8 weeks following surgery, however, this gradually settled and at one year her OKS was 44/48.

Revision:

Revision to TKR was required in two patients (3%). One patient had a traumatic twisting knee injury during the early postoperative recovery period. He presented with posterior dislocation of the mobile bearing. Operative findings revealed a ruptured MCL, a laterally positioned vertical tibial cut compromising the ACL integrity. A decision was made to reconstruct the MCL and revise the implant to a TKR. The patient had a satisfactory outcome following revision. The second revision was at 10 months following primary surgery. The patient had a protracted course of conservative treatment after an initial

---

Diagram 3. Incidence of tibial component radiolucent lines
reoperation following a fall causing complete wound dehiscence at 3/52 post-op. Despite antibiotic therapy revision to TKR was subsequently required for recurrent deep infection.

**Cemented tibial implant results:**

In seven patients in the cohort, an intraoperative decision was made to use a cemented tibial prosthesis due to poor proximal tibial bone stock. In each case, this was combined with a cementless femoral prosthesis. Their mean BMI was 28.5 (20-37) and their mean age was 74 (range 58-86). The male to female ratio was 2:5. Their median Oxford Knee score was 46/48. All had excellent scores.

**Radiolucent lines:**

There were no radiolucent lines associated with the femoral component. There were no complete or progressive tibial radiolucencies. There were no tibial radiolucencies seen post-operatively but all 7 knees had partial radiolucencies at one year. These were all less than 2mm in diameter with well-defined margins. (Diagram 4)

**Subsidence:**

There was no tibial component subsidence observed in this group with a cemented tibial component.

**DISCUSSION:**

Our study shows similar findings to those few published reports of radiolucent under the tibial component of a UKR\(^5\), \(^{14}\). We have used fluoroscopically-aligned radiographs to be confident that we are accurately recording radiolucencies as it has been shown that they are often missed on even well-aligned normal radiographs\(^{12}\).

The cemented OUKR tibial implant is known to have a higher incidence of radiolucent lines\(^6\). These usually develop during the first year, are well-defined, with a diameter less than 2mm, and have a sclerotic margin. They have been labelled physiologic by the Oxford group and are thought to be related to the unique loading pattern of the prosthesis. Several studies have reported good clinical outcomes despite the presence of these radiolucencies\(^{12,8,15}\). This was the case in this series, in which the cemented tibial implant had incomplete radiolucent lines in all seven knees at one-year radiographs. However, all patients had excellent OKS.
However, outside the designer centre these radiolucencies are often a cause for concern. In cases where there is persistent proximal tibial pain post-operatively, it is difficult to be sure that the radiolucency does not represent aseptic loosening. Repeated use of fluoroscopically-aligned radiographs overtime should determine whether there is a progression of the radiolucency that would support loosening, but it is thought that decisions to revise the prosthesis, usually to a TKR, is often made without it. This is supported by the fact that the two most common causes of revision of OUKR in the NJR are pain and loosening \(^{16}\). The decision to manufacture cementless OUKR implants was partly based upon this issue \(^{17}\). There was a feeling that if radiolucency under the tibial prosthesis could be reduced, then it would lead to a reduction in the number of revisions and therefore better long-term implant survival. So far there have been very few studies to assess this outside of the designing centre.

Our data would appear to confirm previous findings. We have demonstrated that partial radiolucencies under the tibial prosthesis are common immediately post-operatively with the cementless component. This may represent incomplete seating of the component at the end of the procedure, or in some cases, just an image of the hydroxyapatite-bone interface before this has consolidated. By one year post-operatively almost all radiolucencies have been obliterated suggesting that the prosthesis gradually seats itself under load-bearing conditions and with the integration of bone to the hydroxyapatite coating \(^{6,17}\). This is in contrast to the cemented prosthesis where solid fixation should be achieved immediately with curing of the bone cement intra-operatively. Hence radiolucencies are not present post-operatively. As the prosthesis is loaded during the first year it is common for radiolucencies to develop at the cement-bone interfaces a consequence of the loading pattern, thermal necrosis, or due to development of a fibrous interface \(^{15}\).

It is hypothesized that this will lead to better long-term survival of the cementless prostheses as has been suggested by the New Zealand Joint Registry (NZJR) \(^{16}\) which separates cemented and cementless UKRs, unlike the UK NJR.

The immediate stability of the cemented implant means that the incidence of tibial prosthesis subsidence is extremely low. However, this is not the same with the cementless component which is significantly higher \(^{19}\). The cementless design relies on the integrity of the proximal tibial bone for support. Resection of the subchondral bone plate to expose metaphyseal bone significantly weakens the area under the tibial prosthesis in the early post-operative phase. The bone under the prosthesis then goes through a period of consolidation as it is loaded once the patient begins weight-bearing. A randomized control study using radio stereometric analysis demonstrated that the tibial component subsides by a mean of 0.23mm in the first three months before the implant stabilizes \(^{19}\). There is therefore a period of time during which the bone is at risk of insufficiency failure leading to further subsidence of the prosthesis into the proximal tibia and at the extreme fracture.

The surgical technique demands accuracy in order to minimise this risk. Bone resection is kept to a minimum. The microplasty instrumentation was designed to make the depth of the tibial cut more reproducible such that 7mm of bone is usually removed to allow implantation of a 3mm thick tibial prosthesis and a 4mm thick polyethylene bearing. In smaller knees when a small femoral component is used it is recommended to consider only a 6mm resection and a 3mm polyethylene bearing which is the thinnest available. The sagittal cut should be made to ensure there is no breach of the posterior tibial cortex and there is evidence that mild undermining of the
intercondylar region with the horizontal cut will reduce the risk of fracture propagation distally. The sagittal tibial cut should be positioned as laterally as possible without violating the ACL footprint, such that it lies on the upslope of the medial tibial eminence, to allow implantation of the largest suitable tibial prosthesis. This should sit supported on the cortical rim of bone anteriorly, medially and posteriorly, rather than on the metaphyseal bone. If in between sizes it is recommended to use a prosthesis that slightly overhangs (ideally < 2 mm) rather than one that underhangs. Despite surgeons adhering to the technique there have been published reports of tibial prosthesis subsidence\(^{9,10}\), and fracture\(^8\) in greater numbers than with the cemented prosthesis. Our retrospective review of the first 5 years of using the cementless OUKR revealed a subsidence rate of 2.5% and one periprosthetic fracture. All the patients were > 70 years old. We have assumed that this was due to relatively weaker proximal tibial bone and now consider the use of a cemented tibial prosthesis if this is suspected intra-operatively. We have not seen any cases of subsidence or fracture when using the cemented prosthesis.

Our experience of subsidence is that it usually presents in the first 2 months postoperatively with an increase in proximal tibial pain after an initial period of pain reduction postoperatively. Critical use of fluoroscopically-aligned or well-aligned plain radiographs is mandatory in this situation to compare with immediate postoperative images. If there has been subsidence the treatment usually consists of a short period (4-6 weeks) of modified weight-bearing by which time the proximal tibial bone has consolidated and the patient can return to normal weight-bearing without the recurrence of pain. The subsidence rarely progresses beyond this point and good functional recovery is usually seen as with our case in this series (OKS 44/48 at one year). If there has been significant subsidence into valgus and flexion there may be instability within the joint, with a risk of bearing dislocation. If symptomatic, isolated tibial component revision to a cemented prosthesis (with the restoration of alignment) or revision to a TKR may need to be considered.

Periprosthetic proximal tibial fracture can usually be treated by reduction and fixation with reasonable long-term results. Occasionally conservative treatment is possible. If unsuccessful conversion to TKR is likely to be required.

Patients’ factors may play an important role in the choice of the tibial prosthesis fixation method for this prosthesis. Elderly patients with poor tibial metaphysis bone quality due to periartricular osteoporosis, associated with reduced loading in the arthritic knee, may be at higher risk for tibial component subsidence. These patients may benefit more from receiving a cemented tibial implant. We accept the limitation of this study having a small sample size. Further multicenter randomized control trial may be required to confirm these findings and validate these findings.

**Conclusion:**

In conclusion, both cemented and cementless Oxford medial UKR are valid options for surgical treatment of patients with advanced medial compartment OA. Excellent patient functional outcomes are achievable with both implant designs. Persistent radiolucent lines are less frequent with the cementless design. However there has been no correlation between the presence of radiolucent lines and patient-reported functional outcomes reported for either implant.

Tibial component subsidence and fracture are uncommon complications of the cementless OUKR, but have been recorded with a higher incidence than has been seen with the cemented prosthesis. However the risk of radiolucency with the cemented
OUKR and the potential for that leading to a revision procedure needs to be balanced against this risk. Our current strategy is to use the cementless prosthesis in the vast majority of cases, but with occasional use of a cemented tibial component if we have concerns about the quality of the proximal tibial bone at the time of surgery.
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تقييم مفصل الركبة أو سفردلاسمنتي أحادي الجزء الإسمنتي من حيث شفافية الأشعة والهبوط

محمود السباعي و وائل شريف وزينب محسني و جوناثان و
قسم جراحة العظام، كلية الطب، جامعة عين شمس

المقدمة: مفصل أوكسفورد هو أشهر مفصل جزئي استضافي لتغفي الجزء المصابة بالختونية من الركبة. هذا المفصل الأصناعي له نويع للالتباس في العظام، نوع استمنتي وآخر لاسمنتي. أثبت أبحاث المصمم أن المفصل الأصناعي ناجح في عملية التثبيت وله نسبة حدوث خطوط اشعاعية بين المفصل والعظام أقل بكثير مقارنة بالفصل الأسمنتي. ولكن بعض الأبحاث الأخرى أشارت أن حدوث هبوط في جزء المفصل الأسمنتي لثمة ظنبوب.

الهدف من الدراسة: هذا المقال يهدف إلى دراسة التثبيت والنتائج الوظيفية لمفصل الأصناعي بدون أسمنت. نبلغ عن حدوث خطوط مشتركة مع كل من الأطراف الأسمنتي بدون أسمنت والأسمنتي. ومن ذلك دراسة حدوث هبوط الظنبوب.

الحالات وطرق البحث: هذه دراسة متقبلة لسلسلة متناصرة من استبدال الركبة أحادية الجزء الوسطي بإسمنت. جميع المرضى لديهم الهبوط في المفصل مستخفين معالجات العلاج العضلي الأمامية. تلقي جميع المرضى جزء مفصل عظم الفخذ بدون أسمنت. حصلت الغالبية العظمى من المرضى على لجاع في الساق بدون أسمنت. تم استخدام المكون الأسمنتي في مستند، حيث شارك ثلاثة مرضى حيث ان العظام المتناصرة قد يكون مضاعفاً أثناء الجراحة. تم أخذ الصور الشعاعية المتواقيمة في الفلووروسكوب بعد الجراحة وتم متابعة لمدة عام واحد. تم تقسيم الطرق على الأسابيع الأولى والثانية، وصحابها. أعمار المرضى غاما متوافقة بين أفلام مبدأ الجراحة.

المتتبع: أجريت الدراسة 128 حالة في 59 مريضاً. تم زرع المفصل الأسمنتي بدون أسمنت بالكامل في 91 ركيزة. حيث تم زرع مفصل أسمنتي بعامة الطبين بدون أسمنت لنظام الفخذ في سبعة. لم يكن هناك خلل في dataListكون: كان متوسط العمر 77 سنة. امرتبطة بحالات الالتباس في مفصل كامل للركبة أظهر التصميم بدون أسمنت 92٪ فقط خطوط مشتركة تحت عظام الفخذ في الاعادة المذكورة. كان متوسط النوع في 69 عام واحد. وتم متابعة المرضى في خلالة 15 سنة. وتم متابعة متوسطي نتيجة استبيان أوكسفورد للرطوبة متلازمة لكل المجموعات.

خلاصة البحث: بعد كل التصميمات، صادقة للعلاج الجراحي للمريض الذي يعاني من خشونة العظام، يتم تقييم مفصل الركبة أو سفردلاسمنتي أحادي الجزء الإسمنتي من حيث شفافية الأشعة والهبوط.

المتقال مع نتائج وظيفية متناصرة: المرضى الذين تتغير خطوط المشعة المستمرة أقل تكرارًا مع التصميم بدون أسمنت. وتم شمل خطو أطبار وكسور كما تم ملاحظة ما يشبه經營نلاً مع الظروف الإسمنتيات. ولذلك، يجب أن أثبتدفع من ضمن الظروف الإسمنتيات، حيث أن هذا تحدياً، تؤدي ذلك إلى إجراء مراقبة ضد خطوط هبوط المكون الأسمنتي والكسور في التصميم بدون أسمنت.