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ABSTRACT:
Background: Although portal vein thrombosis is a rare event among general population, its prevalence in cirrhotic patients about 28 % (1). Generally liver cirrhosis is a widespread disease, the differentiation between bland and malignant thrombus is very essential as neoplastic portal vein thrombosis greatly affects the prognosis and the subsequent treatment options (1). Doppler ultrasonography usually the first noninvasive modality used to diagnose portal vein thrombosis, using color and spectral data analysis. Triphasic CT with portography is very helpful to differentiate bland from malignant thrombus and enable characterization of malignant portal vein thrombosis using maximum intensity projection (MIP) reconstruction allow better analysis of the thrombus (2).

Aim of the work: To show the role of color Doppler ultrasonography (US) and Triphasic CT in diagnosis of portal vein thrombosis (PVT) and differentiation between bland and tumoral thrombus.

Patient and Methods: This study included 32 cases presented with portal vein thrombosis clinically and radiologically.

Results: Of the 32 subjects, 21 had malignant PVT and 11 patients had benign PVT. Direct invasion of portal vein from adjacent focal lesion had the highest sensitivity 95.2 % was achieved, with a specificity of 100 %. The Positive predictive value (PPV) was 100 %, while the negative predictive value (NPV) was 91.7 %. Early arterial enhancement and rapid washout of contrast showed 76.2 % sensitivity and 100 % specificity, PPV 100 % and NPV 68.8 %. Pulsatile flow within the thrombus depicted 38.1 % sensitivity, 100 % specificity with PPV 100 % and NPV 45.8 %.

Conclusion: Triphasic CT and color Doppler US have to be considered a useful tools in evaluating portal vein thrombosis provides helpful information for the detection and characterization of malignant and benign portal vein thrombi.
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INTRODUCTION:
Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) indicates partial or complete occlusion of portal vein or its branches by a thrombus within its lumen(1). It occurs either with liver cirrhosis or with hepatic malignancy(2). The differentiation between tumoral and bland PVT is
crucial to determine tumor staging and subsequent treatment options\(^{(3)}\). Although the reference standard for characterization of PVT is histopathological examination, in clinical practice various diagnostic imaging modalities including Doppler US and Triphasic CT, together with clinical and laboratory findings is often relied upon for thrombus discrimination\(^{(4)}\). Usually Doppler US is the initial available non-invasive technique used to assess portal vein\(^{(4)}\). Diagnosis of malignant PVT was evaluated by several studies, by detection of pulsatile flow within intraluminal thrombus \(^{(5)}\). Using Triphasic CT with early and late arterial, portal venous and delayed phases with fixed time delays is quick, easy and non-invasive useful imaging modality in thrombus characterization, direct invasion of portal vein by adjacent focal lesion, detection of arterial enhancement and rapid washout of contrast with expansion of portal vein with the thrombus\(^{(6)}\).

**PATIENTS AND METHODS:**

This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution. This study carried out on 32 patients done at private centers and Ain Shams Hospital radiology department between December 2017 and June 2020.

**Study population:**

Patients subjected to the study according to the following criteria:

**Inclusion criteria:**

Both genders and all ages were included. Patients with chronic hepatic liver disease. Patients with non-cirrhotic liver presenting with PVT. Pathologically or radiologically proven HCC. Patient with visible portal vein thrombosis in US.

**Exclusion criteria:**

Patients with impaired renal function or (serum creatinine level more than 1.5 or GFR less than 30 mL/min/1.73m2).

**Patient preparation:**

Fasting 4-6 h prior to Doppler and CT examination to optimize imaging and avoid complications with IV contrast injection.

**Imaging techniques:**

1) **Color Doppler ultrasonography:**

**Real time ultrasound:** Abdominal ultrasound was done with (General Electric medical system, Toshiba) ultrasound machine using 3.5 MHz transducer for examination of the liver as regards its size, echo texture, contour, hepatic focal lesions, portal vein patency, the presence of intra or extra hepatic biliary radicle dilatation, porta hepatis or abdominal lymph nodes and ascites. Spleen, splenic hilum and pancreas also evaluated.

**Color Doppler ultrasonography** of portal vein: Color Doppler US was performed using 3.5 MHz matched imaging and Doppler frequency transducer. The protocol consists of obtaining gray scale image of PV thrombus and performing color, power and duplex Doppler US for PV thrombus, PV tributaries and branches to determine thrombus extension and adjacent hepatic artery. Thrombi in left portal vein were evaluated using an anterior sub-xiphoid approach, and right portal vein thrombi evaluated between the mid-clavicular line and the posterior axillary line using intercostal or subcostal approach. The flow was categorized by spectral configuration as pulsatile or continuous. Pulsatile flow was called subjectively when a waveform had a marked difference between peak systolic and end diastolic velocities.

2) **CT Imaging Technique:**

Triphasic CT of the abdomen and pelvis was performed using MDCT scanner 16 detectors (GE, Philips Philips Health care) scanner.
Double arterial phase scanning was done via a power injector, 150 ml of omnipaque 300 mg/ml (iohexol 300 mg I/ml; Nycomed, Princeton, NJ) was injected at a rate of 4 ml/s. Early and late arterial phase image acquisition was performed during a single breathe hold using respectively, 20 and 35 s scanning delays from initiation of contrast material injection, we use single breathe hold to minimize the effect of variation on lesion conspicuity and detection. Portal venous phase was performed using scanning delay of 60 s and an image thickness of 5 mm and delayed phase was taken 6-10 minutes after bolus tracking. The entire liver was scanned in craniocaudal direction using detector collimation of 16-1.5 mm, with a table speed/ rotation of 15 mm/ 0.8 s, and an image thickness of 5 mm. Reconstruction section thickness 0.625mm, reconstruction interval 0.625mm, field of view 350mm, matrix 512-512, 2.0 mm thin slice thickness of portal venous phase image and 1.0mm slice interval, window width 350 HU and window level 50HU. The scanning parameters used were 120 KV and 150-250 mAs and the pitch value were 0.813. The scan data was transferred to the post-processing extended Brilliance Workspace (EBW) work station and then the values were measured.

**Imaging evaluation**

PVT is diagnosed when an anechoic or hyperechoic structure seen within portal vein lumen with absence of flow on color Doppler US. Partial or complete filling defect within PV on enhanced CT images. We considered portal vein thrombosis as a malignant thrombus when at least two of the following criteria is present:

A. Portal vein thrombus in US with detection of arterial wave form within the thrombus on both color and spectral analysis.

B. PVT seen in continuity with direct invasion by hepatic focal lesion in US or CT.

C. Presence of early arterial enhancement with rapid washout within the thrombus in CT. Otherwise, the thrombus is considered bland thrombus.

**Statistical analysis:**

Statistical analysis was performed using Med Calc statistical software for Windows (Med Calc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). Data for continuous variables were expressed as either median, interquartile range and range or mean ± standard deviation and as both number and percentage for categorical data. Mann – Whitney and the independent samples T – test were used to evaluate the differences in quantitative variables when the data was not normally and normally distributed respectively. Categorical data were evaluated using the Chi – squared test. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to determine the diagnostic accuracy of the various variables in distinguishing the different groups. The diagnostic accuracy of all variables was evaluated in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and area under the ROC curve (AUC). For all tests all P values were two-tailed and a P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS:**

32 patients (25 males and 7 females) were included in this study. Histopathological analysis revealed that Eleven (34.4%) and 21 (65.6%) patients had benign and malignant portal vein thrombosis respectively. Direct invasion of portal vein from adjacent focal lesion had the highest sensitivity 95.2 % was achieved, with a specificity of 100 %. The Positive predictive value (PPV) was 100 %, while the negative predictive value (NPV) was 91.7 %. Early
arterial enhancement and rapid washout of contrast showed 76.2 % sensitivity and 100 % specificity, PPV 100 % and NPV 68.8 %.

Pulsatile flow within the thrombus depicted 38.1 % sensitivity, 100 % specificity with PPV 100 % and NPV 45.8 %.

Variable diagnostic criteria were evaluated for differentiation of malignant PVT from benign PVT, the area under their ROC curves were compared. Direct invasion of the PV by an adjacent hepatic mass had the largest AUC followed by arterial enhancement and early washout, a thrombus diameter >18mm, intra-thrombus pulsatile flow and finally thrombus neovascularity (FIG). Though the AUC of direct invasion was greater than that of arterial enhancement and washout, no significant difference was between their respective AUCs indicating that the diagnostic performance of these two parameters did not differ significantly. However the AUCs of direct invasion and arterial enhancement were significantly greater than the AUCs of intra-thrombus pulsatile flow and neovascularity indicating that direct invasion and arterial enhancement were better than pulsatile flow and neovascularity in differentiation of malignant and benign PVT. No significant differences were seen between the AUCs of pulsatile flow, neovascularity and diameter or between the AUCs of arterial enhancement and diameter, yet the AUC of direct invasion was significantly greater than the AUC of diameter. Details of the pairwise comparisons of all ROC curves and their statistical significance are listed in the table.
Fig. 2: Receiver operating characteristic curve analyses. The specificity and sensitivity of using pulsatile flow, neovascularity, arterial enhancement with early washout, direct invasion by a hepatic mass and diameter of the thrombus in differentiation of malignant and benign PVT were compared. No significant differences were seen between the AUCs of pulsatile flow, neovascularity and diameter or between the AUCs of direct invasion and arterial enhancement or between the AUCs of arterial enhancement and diameter, yet the AUC of direct invasion was significantly greater than the AUC of diameter and the AUCs of direct invasion and arterial enhancement were significantly greater than the AUCs of intrathrombus pulsatile flow and neovascularity.

Fig. 3: A 70-year-old male patient with histopathologically proven poorly differentiated HCC. (A) Axial Triphasic CT of the liver and (B) Axial porto-venous phase revealed an enhancing thrombus and filling defect during the arterial phase with enlargement of right portal vein (arrow) shows right portal branch. (C) Axial CT delayed image shows washout of contrast. (D) Color Doppler US shows right
PV thrombus and filling defect with color aliasing surrounding the thrombus due to high flow velocity (arteio-portal shunting), however no definite arterial wave could be detected within the thrombus.

Fig. 4: A 55-year-old male patient Histopathologically proven as diffuse infiltrative HCC. Triphasic CT (A) Axial late arterial and (B) Axial portovenous phases shows direct invasion of PV by adjacent focal lesion with no appreciable enhancement during different phases of the study). (C) and (D) Doppler US showed arterialized wave within the right portal vein branch thrombus. Diffuse infiltrative HCC shows atypical enhancement pattern. Tumoral portal vein thrombosis suggested by direct invasion sign and Doppler examination.
DISCUSSION:

The discrimination of neoplastic PVT from bland thrombosis is very crucial as malignant portal vein thrombosis renders the patient unsuitable for most of the therapeutic options including surgical resection, liver transplantation, and chemoembolization, such patients usually undergo palliative treatment. On the other hand, patients with bland PVT are considered for radical treatments\(^1\). In our study 25 males and 7 females with PVT are included. Liver cirrhosis is a common predisposing factor for PVT, but acquired and inherited clotting abnormality may play a role\(^1\). In the current study, liver cirrhosis was found in 18 patients with malignant PVT (18/21) (85%) and in 9 patients out of 11 patients with benign PVT (9/11) (81%). No statistical difference between patients having liver cirrhosis in malignant and benign groups, but we found high incidence of cirrhotic liver disease in cases of malignant PVT. 21 patients with malignant PVT, 18 patients of them were suffering from HCC (85%) and 3 patients having cholangiocarcinoma (14%). The use of Doppler sonography in the diagnosis of malignant PVT has been evaluated in many studies, absent flow within PV with pulsatile flow within the thrombus made the diagnosis. In our study 8 patients out of 21 patients with malignant PVT have intra thrombus pulsatile flow on color Doppler US, (8/21), (38%), while the 11 patients with benign PVT had no intra-thrombus pulsatile flow, with 100 % specificity. This was in agreement with the results of the studies by Osman N &Samy L, Pozniak & Baus, Rossi et al., and Tarantino etal., who stated that all the patients with benign PVT had no intra-thrombus pulsatile flow with specificity 100%.

Triphasic CT is one of the best diagnostic modalities of PVT and for evaluation of the causative disease\(^2\). At current study, on CT we found that out of 21 patient have malignant PVT, 7 patients (33 %) showed neovascularity of portal vein thrombus, with sensitivity of 33.3%, specificity 100 % and accuracy 56.3 %. In the study by Osman N & Samy L, they found that out of 33 patients with malignant PVT, 28 patients showed neovascularity of the thrombus with sensitivity of 84.8 % and specificity of 100 %. 16 Patients with malignant PVT pathologically proven HCC (76 %) of showed early arterial enhancement and rapid washout of the thrombus with sensitivity of 76.2 %, specificity 100 % and
accuracy 84.4%. two cases with pathologically proven infiltrative HCC showed no appreciable arterial enhancement.

Histopathologically diagnosed three patients with cholangiocarcinoma showed no arterial enhancement of the thrombus. Our results were in agreement with those of Osman N & Samy L.,(2) Lee et al.,(8) who considered early arterial enhancement and rapid washout of contrast is 100 % specific for malignant PVT. Also we found that out of 21 patients with malignant PVT 13 showed PVT dimensions more than 18 mm with sensitivity of 61.9 %, specificity of 90.9 % and accuracy of 71.9 %

On the other hand none of the 11 patients with benign PVT showed no neovasularity of the thrombus and no early arterial enhancement and rapid washout of the thrombus and the diameter of PV thrombus was less than 18 mm.

20 patients out of 21 patients (95.2 %) with malignant PVT showed direct invasion of portal vein by adjacent hepatic focal lesion with sensitivity 95.2 %, specificity 100 % and accuracy 96.9 %. Direct invasion of portal vein is more appreciated in our study in comparison to (Osman N & Samy L., 2016) in which only 75.7 % of malignant PVT showed direct PV invasion.

In our study we compared diagnostic variables through the AUCs of their ROC curves, we found that the AUC of direct invasion was greater than that of arterial enhancement and washout, no significant difference was found between their respective AUCs indicating that the diagnostic performance of these two parameters did not differ significantly. However the AUCs of direct invasion and arterial enhancement were significantly greater than the AUCs of intra-thrombus pulsatile flow and neovascularity indicating that direct invasion and arterial enhancement were better than pulsatile flow and neovascularity in differentiation of malignant and benign PVT.

No significant differences were seen between the AUCs of pulsatile flow, neovasularity and diameter or between the AUCs of arterial enhancement and diameter, yet the AUC of direct invasion was significantly greater than the AUC of diameter.

Consequently, the specificity for the diagnosis of malignant PVT approaches 100 %. If there is at least two of the following findings: intra thrombus pulsatile flow, direct invasion of portal vein by adjacent focal lesion, intra thrombus neovasularity and early arterial enhancement and rapid washout of contrast.

We decided to rely on imaging criteria as the reference standard in PVT differentiation, taking into consideration the invasive nature of fine needle aspiration cytology with associated risks of bleeding.

**Conclusion:**

Combined assessment of portal vein thrombus using color Doppler US and Triphasic CT with portography is essential for more accurate evaluation and differentiation between the benign and malignant thrombus. Direct invasion of portal vein from adjacent focal lesion had the highest sensitivity 95.2 % was achieved, with a specificity of 100 %. The Positive predictive value (PPV) was 100 %, while the negative predictive value (NPV) was 91.7 %. Early arterial enhancement and rapid washout of contrast showed 76.2 % sensitivity and 100 % specificity, PPV 100 % and NPV 68.8 %. Pulsatile flow within the thrombus depicted 38.1 % sensitivity, 100 % specificity with PPV 100 % and NPV 45.8 %.
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دور الدوبلر الملون والأشعة المقطعية ثلاثية المراحل في جلطات الوريد البابي

الخلفية: على الرغم من أن جلطة الوريد البابي نادرة الحدوث بين العامة إلا أنها تمثل نسبة 28% وتنتشر أمراض الكبد بصفة عامة وتليف الكبد أيضاً يعد سبب رئيسى من إسباب جلطة الوريد البابي. قد تكون الجلطة سرطانية وقد تكون جلطة حميدة وكلاهما جلطة الوريد البابي. يوجد جلطة سرطانية يتر كلياً على خط العلاج. بعد الدوبلر الملون أول خطوة في تشخيص جلطة الوريد البابي أيضاً للاشعة المقطعية الثلاثية المراحل تمكننا من التفرقة بين الجلطة السرطانية والجلطة الحميدة.

الهدف: الهدف من الرسالة إيضاح دور الدوبلر الملون والأشعة المقطعية ثلاثية المراحل في تشخيص جلطات الوريد البابي والفرق بين الجلطة الحميدة والسرطانية.

المرضى والطرق: لقد قمنا بدراسة 32 مريض يعانون من جلطة الوريد البابي.

النتائج: من بين 32 مريض وجد أن 21 مريض يعانون من جلطة سرطانية و11 مريض يعانون من جلطة حميدة وقد وجدنا أن امتداد الجلطة داخل الوريد البابي من بؤرة سرطانية مجاورة يمثل نسبة دقة تصل 100% ونسبة عالية تشخيص المرض تصل إلى 95% كذلك سرعة تصعيد الجلطة في أول مرحلة من مراحل الاشعة المقطعية ثم طرد الصبغة يمثل 100% و76% على التوالي ووجود تدفق شرياني داخل الجلطة أيضاً من علامات الجلطة السرطانية ويمثل 100% و83% على التوالي.

الخلاصة: الأشعة المقطعية ثلاثية المراحل والدوبلر الملون لهم دور مهم في توصيف جلطة الوريد البابي.