Clinical and Radiological Benefits of Intra-Articular Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) Injection in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis

OriginalFatma Mohammed Aboud^{1,4}, Mona Ali Mohamed², Mohammed Moustafa Metwaly³,ArticleOmnia Bahaa Attia¹ and AL Shymaa Mohammed Farouk^{1,4}

Departments of ¹Internal medicine and Rheumatology, ²Radiodiagnosis and ³Physical Medicine, Rheumatolog & Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University ⁴Department of Internal Medicine Armed Forces, College of Medicine

ABSTRACT

Background: Although several studies had investigated the possibility of being effective in treating osteoarthritis, the use of PRP as a line of treatment in such cases is not yet approved.

Aim of the Work: To assess the potential clinical and radiological benefits of intra-articular PRP injection in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Patients and Method: The study included 45 knee osteoarthritis patients following-up at the rheumatology clinic, Ain Shams University hospitals. Demographic, clinical data including the visual analogue scale (VAS), and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) index, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) knee joint were analyzed. Intraarticular knee injection of PRP for 6 consecutive months was done. Patients were reassessed clinically and radiologically after 6 months from the last injection.

Results: There was 39 (86.7 %) females, 6 (13.3 %) males. There was a statistically significant reduction in VAS & WOMAC scores after PRP injection (P < 0.001). MRI showed statistically significant decrease in the subchondral bone marrow lesions (P 0.004) and statistically significant increase in the patellar cartilage volume (P 0.02), non-significant decrease in intercondylar synovitis (P 0.51). The patient's age and disease duration were significantly negatively correlated only with the VAS improvement percent (P 0.04, 0.03 respectively), BMI didn't show any significance with both scores. There was no major side effects of PRP injection like infection or bleeding. Minimal pain at site of injection reported by some patients.

Conclusions: The use of Intraarticular PRP injection improves the clinical and radiological outcomes of knee osteoarthritis without major adverse effects.

Key Words: Knee osteoarthritis, magnetic resonance imaging, platelet rich plasma.

Received: 6 November 2024, Accepted: 02 December 2024

Corresponding Author: Mohammed Moustafa Metwaly, Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Egypt, **Tel.:** +2 011 4616 3682, **E-mail:** m.moustafa2011@yahoo.com **ISSN:** 2735-3540, Vol.75, No. 4, December 2024

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the commonest joint disease worldwide. It is a degenerative disease affecting the joint cartilage causing pain and disability. The chief features of osteoarthritis are subchondral bone anomalies, formation of osteophytes, bone remodeling, subchondral sclerosis^[1].

Treatment of cartilage disease is challenging as it is defective in blood vessels and nerve supply with poor ability to heal. Traditional treatment for osteoarthritis uncommonly improves patient's functional state^[2].

Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is rich in several growth factors & plasma proteins such as transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF- β 1), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), insulin-like growth factor 1(IGF-1), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), human growth hormone, and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), thus it may have benefit in decreasing the cartilage loss in osteoarthritis^[3].

Various studies had suggested that PRP is hopeful in treatment of knee osteoarthritis as it may improve joint pain and function, increase range of movement, and decreasing the patient disability. More ever intraarticular PRP injection has been noticed to diminish the progression of cartilage loss, therefore supporting its effectiveness in preventing the knee osteoarthritis degenerative effects and avoiding additional structural damage^[4].

Although several studies have investigated the possibility of being effective in treating osteoarthritis, the use of PRP as a line of treatment in such cases is not yet approved^[5].

AIM OF THE WORK

To assess the potential clinical and radiological benefits of intra-articular PRP injection in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This prospective study enrolled forty-five patients with knee osteoarthritis following-up at the rheumatology outpatient clinic, Ain Shams University hospitals. All patients were diagnosed according to the American college of rheumatology (ACR) criteria for knee osteoarthritis^[6]. Patients with Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) or PRP injection contraindications were excluded (previous hyaluronic acid or corticosteroid injection, recent knee surgery or trauma, systemic or inflammatory joint disease, immunosuppression or acute infection, cancer, bleeding tendency).

An informed consent was obtained from all patients after approval from the Ethical Committee of Scientific Research, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. Detailed medical history was obtained from all patients including demographic data and disease duration. Assessment of joint pain was done using the visual analogue scale (VAS) as 0 = without pain; 10 = with maximum pain^[7]. Functional state of patients was assessed using the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) index where the lower scores the better state (minimum is 0, maximum 96)^[8].

Full general examination and rheumatological examination of the knee joint were done. Laboratory investigations were done including erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein with titer (CRP), complete blood count (CBC), Prothrombin time (PT), Partial Thromboplastin Time (PTT) and international normalization ratio (INR).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) knee joint was done and studied by radiologist, with especial comment on: (1) the subchondral bone marrow lesions were scored in 15 joint regions using the Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS score)^[9], each region scored from zero to three, with lower scores indicate better state, (2) Inter-condylar synovitis was scored as zero or one (3) Patellofemoral cartilage volume was evaluated in millimeters (Normal: 4.5 ± 1.3 mm), (4) Medial and lateral meniscal integrity were scored from zero to three, zero referring to intact meniscus^[9].

All patients were subjected to intraarticular knee injection of autologous PRP monthly for 6 consecutive months. NSAIDs were stopped 2 days before injection. PRP was prepared by withdrawal of 30-mL patient's venous blood then centrifuged at room temperature with GPS II system for 10 minutes at a speed of 4000 rpm, then injected directly intraarticular under complete aseptic condition. Follow up and reassessment of patients after 6 months apart from the last injection were done including the VAS and WOMAC scores. MRI knee joint was also done on follow up.

The collected data was tabulated and statistically analyzed using statistical package of social sciences (SPSS) version 28. Data were presented as mean ±standard deviation (SD) or frequencies and percentages. Comparisons were done by Chi-square (χ 2) and Mann-Whitney tests. Correlation was done by Spearman's correlation coefficient (r) test. Significance was indicated by *P value* ≤ 0.05 .

RESULTS

Thirty-nine (86.7 %) of the studied patients were females, 6 (13.3 %) were males, their mean age was 53 ± 4.2 years, with a mean body mass index (BMI) 28.8 ± 3.6 kg/m2, and mean disease duration 4.1 ± 2.9 years (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic and laboratory data of the studied patients

Item	N (%) or Mean \pm SD			
Age (years)	53±4.2			
Female	39 (86.7 %)			
Male	6 (13.3 %)			
Disease duration (years)	4.1±2.9			
BMI (kg/m2)	28.8±3.6			
ESR (mm/hour)	13.4±5.6			
CRP (mg/dl)	8.4±2.8			
WBC (1000/microL)	7.3±1.7			
Hb (gm/dl)	10.9 ± 0.8			
PLT (1000/microL)	257±77			
PT (seconds).	10.5 ± 0.6			
PTT (seconds)	20±1.3			
INR	1±0.5			

BMI: Body mass index, ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP: C-reactive protein, WBC: White blood cell, PLT: Platelets, Hb: Hemoglobin, PT: Prothrombin time, PTT: Partial Thromboplastin, INR: International normalization ratio. There was a statistically significant reduction in both VAS & WOMAC scores after the PRP injection as the VAS decreased from 6.9 ± 1.21 to 3.8 ± 1.7 , WOMAC decreased from 68.61 ± 15.22 to 37.7 ± 11.3 with *P value* <0.001 for both (Table 2).

Regarding the MRI changes after PRP injection, there was statistically significant decrease in the subchondral bone marrow lesions (*P value* 0.004) and statistically significant increase in the patellofemoral cartilage volume (*P value* 0.02) (Table 2, Figures 1,2).

 Table 2: Comparison of clinical and radiological data at baseline

 and 6 months after last PRP injection in the studied patients

Item	Before PRP	After PRP	P value					
WOMAC score	68.61 ± 15.22	37.7 ± 11.3	< 0.001					
VAS score	6.9 ± 1.21	3.8 ± 1.7	< 0.001					
MRI findings								
Subchondral Bone marrow lesions	5.1 ± 3.1	4.0 ± 2.7	0.004					
Inter-condylar synovitis	6.7 %	3.3 %	0.51					
Patellofemoral cartilage volume (mm)	$2.51{\pm}0.74$	2.81 ± 0.61	0.02					
Medial and lateral meniscal integrity	5.21 ± 2.92	4.91 ± 3.00	0.21					

PRP: Platelet rich plasma, VAS: Visual analogue scale, WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities, MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Bold values are significant at p<0.05



Fig. 1: MRI before PRP injection, (A) Axial T2WI revealed denuded articular cartilage and (B) sagittal STIR images revealed: denuded articular cartilage with subchondral bone edema.



Fig. 2: MRI after PRP injection, (A) Axial T2WI revealed improvement as regards the articular cartilage volume and (B) Sagittal STIR images revealed improvement as regards the articular cartilage and subchondral bone edema.

Although the inter-condylar synovitis decreased from 6.7% to 3.3% after PRP injection, it didn't show statistical significance (*P value* 0.51), the same for medial and lateral meniscal integrity (*P value* 0.21) (Table 2, Figures 3,4).



Fig. 3: MRI before PRP injection, (a) Axial T2WI and (b) Sagittal STIR revealed diffuse uniform synovial thickening suggestive of synovitis.



Fig. 4: MRI after PRP injection, (a) Axial T2WI and (b) Sagittal STIR revealed improvement of synovitis.

While correlating the different demographic data with the VAS & WOMAC improvement percent, patient's age and the osteoarthritis disease duration were significantly negatively correlated only with the VAS improvement percent (*P value* 0.04, 0.03 respectively), while the BMI didn't show any statistical significance with both scores (Table 3). **Table 3:** Correlation between demographic data and the VAS &

 WOMAC improvement percent after 6 months from the last PRP injection

	Age (years)		BMI (kg/m ²)		DD (years)	
Item	r	p	r	p	r	p
		Г	-	Г	_	Г
VAS improvement %	-0.61	0.04	-0.04	0.80	-0.50	0.03
WOMAC improvement %	-0.14	0.47	0.26	0.17	-0.07	0.67

VAS: Visual analogue scale, WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities, BMI: body mass index, DD: disease duration. Bold values are significant at p<0.05

There were no major side effects of PRP injection like infection or bleeding, only minimal pain at site of injection for few hours after the procedure was experienced by some patients.

DISCUSSION

Osteoarthritis is a common degenerative joint disease that affects mainly knee and hip joints. It is usually manifested by pain and limited range of motion^[10]. Several studies had suggested the possible favorable effects of intraarticular injection of platelet-rich plasma in cases with knee osteoarthritis^[11].

While assessing the potential benefits of intra-articular PRP injection, 45 knee osteoarthritis patients were subjected to intra-articular knee injection of autologous PRP monthly for 6 consecutive months. While comparing the baseline findings with those after injection, there was a statistically significant reduction in both VAS & WOMAC scores after injection (*p value* <0.001), that reflects clinical improvement of patients pain and functional ability, that was similar to results of *Halpern et al.*^[12], *Wang et al.*^[13], *Sdeek et al.*^[14], and *Dulic et al.*^[15] who found a clinical improvement indicated by decreased WOMAC and VAS scores after PRP injection in evaluated patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Similarly, studies by *Bansal et al.*^[16], *Rahimzadeh et al.*^[17], *Shahid et al.*^[18], *Gaballa et al.*^[19] and *Huang et al.*^[20] revealed similar findings concerning the WOMAC score. Additionally, *Hassan et al.*^[21] demonstrated a highly statistically significant reduction in the joint stiffness time, VAS score and international knee documentation committee osteoarthritis scale (IKDC score) (p < 0.001) after injection.

In 2016, Smith.^[22] demonstrated 78% reduction in WOMAC scores in patients injected with PRP, while only 7% reduction in placebo injected patients. Furthermore, *Patel et al.*^[23], *Spaková et al.*^[24] and *Sampson et al.*^[25] concluded a linear improvement of pain scores and functional scores. Another study by *Cole et al.*^[26] found reduction in pain scores of 43.14% after PRP.

In 2019, Badr and colleagues^[27] concluded that combining PRP with therapeutic exercise resulted in significantly lower VAS and WOMAC scores than exercise alone in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Although the VAS and WOMAC scores reflect the pain and functional ability of patients, but they are mainly patient self-administered tools, thus MRI knee joint was done to all the studied patients at baseline and 6 months after the last PRP injection trying to detect the possible significant radiological improvement. There was a significant decrease in the subchondral bone marrow lesions (*P value* 0.004) and significant increase in the patellar cartilage volume (*P value* 0.02), as well as a non-significant decrease in both inter-condylar synovitis and the meniscal integrity after injection. These results support the findings of *Özyalvaç et al.*^[28] who showed better functional scores and radiological improvement regarding the meniscal degeneration, and *Sampson et al.*^[29] who found increased cartilage thickness after PRP injection.

In accordance, *Ahmad et al.*^[30] observed clinical improvement after PRP injection as well as regression of the ultrasonographic findings of osteoarthritis in injected patients. And *Raeissadat et al.*^[31] concluded that adding to the benefits of PRP on VAS and WOMAC scores, PRP significantly improves the radiologic findings (patellofemoral cartilage volume and synovitis). Similarly, results of *Moretti et al.*^[32] showed a statistically significant VAS, WOMAC improvement, and non-significant increase in cartilage thickness. They concluded that PRP injection is valid in reducing pain and improving quality of life and functional scores.

Current results partially agree with those of *Küçükakkaş et al.*^[33] who found a statistically significant reduction in both pain and functional scores after PRP injection. However, their patients didn't show significant increase in cartilage thickness which differs from our findings.

In contrast with current results, *Bennell et al.*^[34] compared the effectiveness of placebo saline and PRP injections in knee osteoarthritis patients, and observed no statistically significant difference between both groups

regarding pain scores or cartilage volume in MRI, although the placebo group showed an extra minor loss of cartilage. In 2013, *Halpern et al.*^[12] concluded that MRI didn't show progression or regression of the cartilage loss after PRP injection.

These disagreements with our results could be attributed to the difference in patient's number, lower number of injections, different interval between injections and shorter follow up period in their studies.

While identifying the characteristics of patients with better response to PRP injection, we found that shorter disease duration and younger age showed better improvement of joint pain and or function, as the osteoarthritis disease duration and patient age were significantly negatively correlated with the VAS reduction percent. And they were negatively correlated with the WOMAC reduction percent although didn't show statistical significance.

These findings go hand in hand with those observed by *Meheux et al.*^[35] who found better response in patients with shorter disease duration.

In line, significant correlation of the disease duration and patient's age with VAS score after PRP injection was found by *Hassan et al.*^[21], but their results were different from ours regarding other parameters, as they found that BMI was significantly correlated with VAS and significantly negatively correlated with the international knee documentation committee osteoarthritis (IKDC) score after 6 PRP injections.

Intraarticular PRP injection seems to be safe causing no major adverse effects in the studied patients except for mild pain at injection site, the same was observed by *Hassan et al.*^[21] although *Patel et al.*^[23] recorded another side effects like nausea and dizziness in some of their studied patients, however it were mild and temporary.

CONCLUSION

From the current findings, we conclude that intraarticular injection of PRP has a promising effect in treating knee osteoarthritis via improving the pain, functional ability and increasing the cartilage volume. Consequently, the use of PRP seems to improve the clinical and radiological outcomes of knee osteoarthritis without major adverse effects. Some limitations in this study should be considered such as small sample size, absence of control group of patients with osteoarthritis receiving different treatment to compare with the PRP results and lack of standard protocol for PRP injection with determined number of injections, interval between injections, and amount of PRR per injection.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

There are no conflicts of interest.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have participated in the concept, design, collect, analysis and interpretation of data, writing, drafting and revising the manuscript.

FM: recruited patients, carried out clinical examination and assessment, and generated the result sheets.

MA: underwent data tabulation and statistical analysis, and interpreted the patient's data and wrote the final results.

MM: recruited patients, carried out clinical examination and assessment,

OB: revised data interpretation and manuscript.

AF: was the major contributor in writing and editing the manuscript, designed the protocol, carried out the Ethical approval, and data collection.

All authors have agreed to conditions noted on the Authorship Agreement Form and have read and approved the final version submitted. The content of the manuscript has not been published, or submitted for publication elsewhere. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests concerning this article.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the faculty of

medicine, Ain Shams university research and ethical committee. We obtained approval from Research Ethics Committee (REC) No. FWA000017585. FMASU R 114/2023, On 1/5/2023. Written informed consent was obtained from participants for participation in this study.

The FMASU REC is organized and operated according to guidelines of the International Council on Harmonization (ICH) and the Islamic Organization of Medical Sciences (IOMS), the United States Office for Human research Protections and the United States Code of Feral Regulations and operates under Federal Wide Assurance No. FWA 000017585. FMASU 324/2018.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable due to patients' privacy concern.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during this study are not publicly available due to patients' privacy, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate all participants in this research. This research was done in the Ain Shams University Clinics.

FUNDING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bijlsma JW, Berenbaum F and Lafeber FP. Osteoarthritis: an update with relevance for clinical practice. Lancet. 2011 Jun 18; 377(9783):2115-26. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60243-2. PMID: 21684382.
- Frizziero A, Giannotti E, Ferraro C, et al. Platelet rich plasma intra-articular injections: a new therapeutic strategy for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis in sport rehabilitation. A systematic review. Sport Sci Health 8, 15–22 (2012). doi.org/10.1007/s11332-012-0126-5

- Civinini R, Nistri L, Martini C, Redl B, Ristori G, Innocenti M. Growth factors in the treatment of early osteoarthritis. Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab. 2013 Jan;10(1):26-9. doi: 10.11138/ccmbm/2013.10.1.026. PMID: 23858307; PMCID: PMC3710006.
- 4. Crowley JL, Soti V. Platelet-Rich Plasma Therapy: An Effective Approach for Managing Knee Osteoarthritis. Cureus. 2023 Dec 19; 15(12):e50774. doi: 10.7759/cureus.50774. PMID: 38116024; PMCID: PMC10729545.
- Zhu Y, Yuan M, Meng HY, Wang AY, Guo QY, Wang Y, Peng J. Basic science and clinical application of platelet-rich plasma for cartilage defects and osteoarthritis: a review. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2013 Nov; 21(11):1627-37. doi: 10.1016/j. joca.2013.07.017. Epub 2013 Aug 7. PMID: 23933379.
- Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, Christy W, Cooke TD, Greenwald R, Hochberg M, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum. 1986 Aug; 29(8):1039-49. doi: 10.1002/art.1780290816. PMID: 3741515.
- McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S. Clinical applications of visual analogue scales: a critical review. Psychol Med. 1988 Nov; 18(4):1007-19. doi: 10.1017/s0033291700009934. PMID: 3078045.
- 8. Ebrahimzadeh MH, Makhmalbaf H, Birjandinejad A, Keshtan FG, Hoseini HA, Mazloumi SM. The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) in Persian Speaking Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2014 Mar; 2(1):57-62. Epub 2014 Mar 15. PMID: 25207315; PMCID: PMC4151432.
- Peterfy CG, Guermazi A, Zaim S, Tirman P, Miaux Y, White D, *et al.* Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) of the knee in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2004 Mar; 12(3):177-90.
- Yaseen IA, Zayed FH, Abd-Elaziz AF, Beltagy MK. Role of platelet-rich plasma in treatment of knee osteoarthritis. Al-Azhar Assuit mediacl journal.2020. Mar; 18:32-35.
- Chen XI, Jones IA, Park CA, Vangsness CT. The Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Plasma on Tendon and Ligament Healing: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis With Bias Assessment. Am J Sports Med. 2018 Jul; 46(8):2020-2032.

- Halpern B, Chaudhury S, Rodeo SA, Hayter C, Bogner E, Potter HG, Nguyen J. Clinical and MRI outcomes after platelet-rich plasma treatment for knee osteoarthritis. Clin J Sport Med. 2013 May; 23(3):238-9. doi: 10.1097/JSM.0b013e31827c3846. PMID: 23238250.
- Wang-Saegusa A, Cugat R, Ares O, Seijas R, Cuscó X, Garcia-Balletbó M. Infiltration of plasma rich in growth factors for osteoarthritis of the knee short-term effects on function and quality of life. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2011 Mar; 131(3):311-7. doi: 10.1007/ s00402-010-1167-3. Epub 2010 Aug 17. PMID: 20714903.
- 14. Sdeek M, Sabry D, El-Sdeek H, Darweash A. Intra-articular injection of Platelet rich plasma versus Hyaluronic acid for moderate knee osteoarthritis. A prospective, double-blind randomized controlled trial on 189 patients with follow-up for three years. Acta Orthop Belg. 2021 Dec; 87(4):729-734. doi: 10.52628/87.4.18. PMID: 35172440.
- 15. Dulic O, Rasovic P, Lalic I, Kecojevic V, Gavrilovic G, Abazovic D, Maric D, Miskulin M, Bumbasirevic M. Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate versus Platelet Rich Plasma or Hyaluronic Acid for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. Medicina (Kaunas). 2021 Nov 2; 57(11):1193. doi: 10.3390/medicina57111193. PMID: 34833411; PMCID: PMC8623697.
- 16. Bansal H, Leon J, Pont JL, et al. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) in osteoarthritis (OA) knee: Correct dose critical for long term clinical efficacy. Sci Rep 11, 3971 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83025-2
- Rahimzadeh P, Imani F, Faiz SHR, Entezary SR, Zamanabadi MN, Alebouyeh MR. The effects of injecting intra-articular platelet-rich plasma or prolotherapy on pain score and function in knee osteoarthritis. Clin Interv Aging. 2018 Jan 4; 13:73-79. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S147757. PMID: 29379278; PMCID: PMC5757490.
- Shahid A, Malik A, Bukhari A, Shaikh A, Rutherford J, Barkatali B. Do Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections for Knee Osteoarthritis Work? Cureus. 2023 Feb 2; 15(2):e34533. doi: 10.7759/cureus.34533. PMID: 36751575; PMCID: PMC9897683.

- 19. Gaballa N M, Mohammed Y A, Kamel L M, Mahgoub H M. Therapeutic efficacy of intra-articular injection of platelet–rich plasma and ozone therapy in patients with primary knee osteoarthritis // Egyptian Rheumatologist. 2019. Vol. 41. No. 3. pp. 183-187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejr.2018.07.005
- 20. Huang Y, Liu X, Xu X, Liu J. Intra-articular injections of platelet-rich plasma, hyaluronic acid or corticosteroids for knee osteoarthritis: A prospective randomized controlled study. Orthopade. 2019 Mar; 48(3):239-247. English. doi: 10.1007/s00132-018-03659-5. PMID: 30623236.
- **21.** Hassan AS, El-Shafey AM, Ahmed HS, *et al.* Effectiveness of the intra-articular injection of platelet rich plasma in the treatment of patients with primary knee osteoarthritis, The Egyptian Rheumatologist. Volume 37, Issue 3. 2015, Pages 119-124. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ejr.2014.11.004
- 22. Smith PA. Intra-articular Autologous Conditioned Plasma Injections Provide Safe and Efficacious Treatment for Knee Osteoarthritis: An FDA-Sanctioned, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled Clinical Trial. Am J Sports Med. 2016 Apr; 44(4):884-91. doi: 10.1177/0363546515624678. Epub 2016 Feb 1. PMID: 26831629.
- 23. Patel S, Dhillon MS, Aggarwal S, Marwaha N, Jain A. Treatment with platelet-rich plasma is more effective than placebo for knee osteoarthritis: a prospective, double-blind, randomized trial. Am J Sports Med. 2013 Feb; 41(2):356-64. doi: 10.1177/0363546512471299. Epub 2013 Jan 8. PMID: 23299850.
- 24. Spaková T, Rosocha J, Lacko M, Harvanová D, Gharaibeh A. Treatment of knee joint osteoarthritis withautologous platelet-rich plasma in comparison with hyaluronic acid. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2012 May; 91(5):411-7. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0b013e3182aab72. PMID: 22513879.
- 25. Sampson S, Reed M, Silvers H, Meng M, Mandelbaum B. Injection of platelet-rich plasma in patients with primary and secondary knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2010 Dec; 89(12):961-9. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0b013e3181fc7edf. PMID: 21403592.

- 26. Cole BJ, Karas V, Hussey K, Pilz K, Fortier LA. Hyaluronic Acid Versus Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Prospective, Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Clinical Outcomes and Effects on Intra-articular Biology for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. Am J Sports Med. 2017 Feb; 45(2):339-346. doi: 10.1177/0363546516665809. Epub 2016 Oct 21. Erratum in: Am J Sports Med. 2017 Apr; 45(5):NP10. doi: 10.1177/0363546517700110. PMID: 28146403.
- 27. Badr ME., Hafez EAR, El-Ghaweet AI, *et al.* Intra-articular injection of platelet - rich plasma and therapeutic exercise in knee osteoarthritis. Egypt Rheumatol Rehabil 46, 1–10 (2019). https://doi. org/10.4103/err.err_22_18
- 28. Özyalvaç ON, Tüzüner TO, Gürpinar TA, Obut AB, Acar BA, Akman YE. Radiological and functional outcomes of ultrasound-guided PRP injections in intrasubstance meniscal degenerations. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong). 2019 May-Aug; 27(2):23094990198.
- **29.** Sampson ST, Reed MA, Silvers HO, Meng MI, Mandelbaum BE. Injection of platelet-rich plasma in patients with primary and secondary knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2010 Dec; 89(12):961-9.
- 30. Ahmad HS, Farrag SE, Okasha AE, Kadry AO, Ata TB, Monir AA, et al. Clinical outcomes are associated with changes in ultrasonographic structural appearance after platelet-rich plasma treatment for knee osteoarthritis. Int J Rheum Dis. 2018 May; 21(5):960-966

- **31.** Raeissadat SA, Ghorbani E, Sanei Taheri M, Soleimani R, Rayegani SM, Babaee M, Payami S. MRI Changes After Platelet Rich Plasma Injection in Knee Osteoarthritis (Randomized Clinical Trial). J Pain Res. 2020 Jan 10; 13:65-73. doi: 10.2147/JPR. S204788. PMID: 32021396; PMCID: PMC6959502.
- 32. Moretti L, Maccagnano G, Coviello M, Cassano GD, Franchini A, Laneve A, Moretti B. Platelet Rich Plasma Injections for Knee Osteoarthritis Treatment: A Prospective Clinical Study. J Clin Med. 2022 May 8;11(9):2640. doi: 10.3390/jcm11092640. PMID: 35566766; PMCID: PMC9099616.
- **33.** Küçükakkaş O, Aydin T, Yurdakul OV. Evaluation of the effect of intra-articular platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid injections on femoral cartilage thickness in chronic knee osteoarthritis. Acta Orthop Belg. 2022 Dec; 88(4):811-819. doi: 10.52628/88.4.10243. PMID: 36800668.
- 34. Bennell KL, Paterson KL, Metcalf BR, Duong V, Eyles J, Kasza J, Wang Y, Cicuttini F, Buchbinder R, Forbes A, Harris A, Yu SP, Connell D, Linklater J, Wang BH, Oo WM, Hunter DJ. Effect of Intraarticular Platelet-Rich Plasma vs Placebo Injection on Pain and Medial Tibial Cartilage Volume in Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis: The RESTORE Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Nov 23; 326(20):2021-2030. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.19415. PMID: 34812863; PMCID: PMC8611484.
- 35. Meheux CJ, McCulloch PC, Lintner DM, Varner KE, Harris JD. Efficacy of Intra-articular Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections in Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. Arthroscopy. 2016 Mar; 32(3):495-505. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2015.08.005. Epub 2015 Oct 1. PMID: 26432430.

الفوائد السريرية والإشعاعية لحقن البلازما الغنية بالصفائح الدموية داخل الفوائد السريرية والإشعاعية مرضى خشونه الركبة

فاطمه محمد عبود سيد ··، ، منى محمد على ، محمد مصطفى متولى ، امنيه بهاء عطيه و الشيماء محمد فاروق ··؛

اقسم الباطنة العامة والروماتيزم، اقسم الأشعة التشخيصية، "قسم العلاج الطبيعي والروماتيزم والتأهيل، كلية الطب، جامعة عين شمس، مصر أقسم الباطنة العامة، كلية الطب، القوت المسلحة

الخلفية: على الرغم من أن العديد من الدر اسات قد بحثت في إمكانية فعاليتها في علاج خشونه الركبه، إلا أن استخدام البلاز ما الغنية بالصفائح الدموية كخط علاج في مثل هذه الحالات لم تتم الموافقة عليه بعد.

الهدف من العمل: تقييم الفوائد السريرية والإشعاعية المحتملة لحقن البلازما الغنية بالصفائح الدموية داخل المفصل لدى المرضى الذين يعانون من خشونه الركبه.

المرضى والطريقة: شملت الدراسة 45 مريضاً مصاباً بخشونه الركبه الذين تتم متابعتهم بعيادة الروماتيزم بمستشفيات جامعة عين شمس. تم تحليل البيانات الديمو غرافية والسريرية بما في ذلك المقياس التناظري البصري (VAS)، ومؤشر جامعات أونتاريو الغربية وماكماستر (WOMAC)، والبيانات الديمو غرافية والسريرية بما في ذلك المقياس التناظري البصري (VAS)، ومؤشر جامعات أونتاريو الغربية وماكماستر (WOMAC)، ومؤشر جامعات أونتاريو الغربية وماكماستر (Womac)، ومؤسل جامعات أونتاريو الغربية وماكماستر (Womac)، ومؤشر جامعات أونتاريو الغربية وماكماستر (Womac)، ومؤسل التيانات الديمو غرافية والسريرية بما في ذلك المقياس التناظري البصري (VAS)، ومؤشر جامعات أونتاريو الغربية وماكماستر (Womac)، ومؤسل الركبة بالتصوير بالرنين المغناطيسي (MRI). تم إجراء حقن البلازما الغنية بالصفائح الدموية (PRP) داخل المفصل لمدة 6 أشهر متتالية. تمت إعادة تقييم المرضى سريريًا وشعاعيًا بعد 6 أشهر من الحقن الأخير.

النتائج: كان هناك 39 (٪.867) إناث، 6 (٪.13.3) ذكور. كان هناك انخفاض ذو دلالة إحصائية في درجات VAS وWOMAC بعد الحقن (0.001) P). أظهر التصوير بالرنين المغناطيسي انخفاضاً ملحوظًا إحصائيًا في آفات نخاع العظم تحت الغضروف (0.004 P) وزيادة ذات دلالة إحصائية في حجم الغضروف الرضفي (0.02 P)، وانخفاض غير ملحوظ في التهاب الغشاء المفصلي بين اللقمتين (0.51 P). ارتبط عمر المريض ومدة المرض بشكل سلبي بشكل ملحوظ فقط مع نسبة تحسن الألم (0.03 0.00 P) على التوالي)، ولم يُظهر مؤشر كتلة الجسم أي دلاله احصائيه. لم تكن هناك آثار جانبية كبيرة لحقن PP مثل العدوى أو النزيف, فقط القليل من الألم في موقع الحق الذي أبلغ عنه بعض المرضى.

الاستنتاجات: يؤدي استخدام حقن البلازما الغنية بالصفائح الدموية داخل المفصل إلى تحسين النتائج السريرية والإشعاعية لالتهاب خشونه الركبة دون آثار ضارة كبيرة.